

School of Education

EDST5116 Student Engagement

Semester 1

Contents

1.	LOCATION	2
	STAFF CONTACT DETAILS	
3.	COURSE DETAILS	2
	Summary of Course	2
	Student Learning Outcomes	
	Program Learning Outcomes (AITSL Professional Graduate Teaching Standards)	2
4.	RATIONALE FOR THE INCLUSION OF CONTENT AND TEACHING APPROACH	3
5.	TEACHING STRATEGIES	3
6.	COURSE CONTENT AND STRUCTURE	4
7.	RESOURCES	5
8.	ASSESSMENT	6

IMPORTANT:

For student policies and procedures relating to assessment, attendance and student support, please see website, https://education.arts.unsw.edu.au/students/courses/course-outlines/

The School of Education acknowledges the Bedegal and Gadigal people as the traditional custodians of the lands upon which we learn and teach.

1. LOCATION

Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences School of Education EDST 5116 Student Engagement (6 units of credit) Semester 1, 2017

2. STAFF CONTACT DETAILS

Course Coordinator: Dr Jennifer L Jolly
Office Location: John Goodsell, Level 1
Email: j.jolly@unsw.edu.au

3. COURSE DETAILS

Course Name	EDST 5116 Student Engagement
Credit Points	6 units of credit (uoc)
Workload	Involves 150 hours of study including an online input session and discussions, readings, research, preparation and assessment.

Summary of Course

This online course provides an opportunity for students to draw on their knowledge of the historical, political and social context of Australian education and the cognitive and societal factors that shape students' experiences and outcomes to develop, implement and evaluate an appropriate action plan to improve student learning through engaging with the wider educational community, including parents, caregivers, and other education-related professionals. The course consists of online forums and the equivalent of 10 days of structured field work in a specific school community, either

1. working with a small group of students in a school or educational organisation in which you are employed as a teacher, casually teaching, or working on a volunteer basis.

Student Learning Outcomes

Outcome		Assessment/s
1	Demonstrate knowledge of current educational programs and organisations working within school communities in NSW	1, 2
2	Demonstrate the capacity to work effectively with external professionals, teachers' aides, parents, and/or community-based personnel to enhance student learning opportunities.	2
3	To develop, implement and evaluate appropriate action plans to improve student learning through collaborative practice within a secondary context	2

Program Learning Outcomes (AITSL Professional Graduate Teaching Standards)

Standard		Assessment/s
1.1	Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of physical, social and intellectual development and characteristics of students and how these may affect learning	1, 2
3.1	Set learning goals that provide achievable challenges for students of varying characteristics	2
3.2	Plan lesson sequences using knowledge of student learning, content and effective teaching strategies	2
3.6	Demonstrate broad knowledge of strategies that can be used to evaluate teaching programs to improve student learning	1

3.7	Describe a broad range of strategies for involving parents/carers in the educative process	1
5.4	Demonstrate the capacity to interpret student assessment data to evaluate student learning and modify teaching practice	1, 2
5.5	Demonstrate understanding of a range of strategies for reporting to students and parents/carers and the purpose of keeping accurate and reliable records of student achievement	1,2
7.3	Understand strategies for working effectively, sensitively and confidentially with parents/carers.	2
7.4	Understand the role of external professional and community representatives in broadening teachers' professional knowledge and practice	1, 2

4. RATIONALE FOR THE INCLUSION OF CONTENT AND TEACHING APPROACH

Teachers in the first year or so of teaching often lack the confidence and skills needed to engage with the wider educational community, and are not always able to work effectively with external professionals, parents and caregivers or even teachers or peers outside their discipline area. This course gives them the opportunity to develop this knowledge and to apply it to develop, implement and evaluate appropriate action plans to improve student learning through collaborative practice with the support of a mentor or more experienced teacher.

5. TEACHING STRATEGIES

A variety of teaching and learning strategies will be used including:

- Input, readings, case studies, video excerpts provide a framework for thinking about student and community engagement
- Online discussions during the engagement period so students and the convenor remain connected with one another, and can share and reflect on experiences
- Pre service teachers are expected to check the Moodle site regularly throughout the period f
 their enrolment.

6. COURSE CONTENT AND STRUCTURE

1. Moodle orientation session, followed by online discussions, readings, research, preparation and assessment

**See Moodle for Readings and Lecture Topics for Week (Online)

The course is structured to be completed online through Moodle. Learning activities each week will involve viewing videos, lectures, writing, reading, researching, posting and/or responding to discussion forums. All learning activities and resources can be found on Moodle.

Week	Topic
February 27, 2017	Introduction: Educational provision in NSW and effectiveness of pathways for diverse learners Under-achievement: Potential effects on students Using assessment data to improve learning School/community support structures
March 13, 2017	Identify which group of students you will be targeting Assessments 1 & 2. Based on your student group, join one of the Moodle discussion groups and introduce yourself and describe your group. (see Moodle for further details).
March 20, 2017	Sharing of requirements for Assessment Task 1 in small groups based on targeted group Characteristics of targeted group Working with underachieving students and their key learning partners (see Moodle for further details).
April 3, 2017 Assessment 1 Due	Please upload assessment task to Moodle.
April 10, 2017	Current educational programs available Sharing of requirements for Assessment Task 2 in small groups based on targeted group (see Moodle for further details).
May 1, 2017	Improving collaborative practice Moodle discussion (see Moodle for further details).
May 15, 2017	Working with stakeholders to improve student learning opportunities Moodle discussion (see Moodle for further details).
June 1, 2017 Assessment 2 Due	Please upload assessment task to Moodle.

7. RESOURCES

- Adsit, T. L. (2011). Small schools, education, and the importance of community: Pathways to improvement and a sustainable future. New York, NY: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Beck, C.. & Kosnik, C. (2002). Components of a good practicum placement: Student teacher perceptions. *Teacher Education Quarterly*, 29(2), 81–98.
- Beck, C., & Kosnik, C. (2001). From cohort to community in a preservice teacher education program. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *17*, 925–948
- Bottrell, D., & Goodwin, S. (2011) *Schools, communities and social inclusion.* South Yarra, VIC: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Brennan, M., Groundwater-Smith, S., & McFadden, M. (2001). Secondary schooling in a changing world. Marrickville, N.S.W.: Harcourt.
- Cochran-Smith, M., & Lytle, S.L. (1999). Relationships of knowledge and practice: Teachers learning in communities. *Review of Research in Education*, *24*, 249–305
- Cochran-Smith, M. (2004). *Walking the road: Race, diversity, and social justice in teacher education*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Cullingford, C. (Ed.). (1996). Parents, education, and the state. (1996). Brookfield, VT: Ashgate Pub. Co.
- Erlandson, P., & Beach, D. (2008). The ambivalence of reflection—rereading Schön. *Reflective Practice*, *9*, 409–421.
- Frederick, R., Cave, A., & Perencevich, K. (2010). Teacher candidates' transformative thinking on issues of social justice. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *26*(2), 315–322
- Holcomb, E. L. (2007). Students are stakeholders, tool: Including every voice in authentic high school reform. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Hong, S. (2011). A cord of three strands: A new approach to parent engagement in schools. Boston, MA: Harvard Education Press.
- Loughran, J. (2010). What expert teachers do. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Marchesani, R. J. (2007). Involving family and Community. In, R. J. Marchesani, *A field guide to teaching* (pp. 217–230). New York, NY: Prentice Hall
- Marchesani, R. J. (2007). Working with your colleagues and support staff. In, R. J. Marchesani, *A field guide to teaching* (pp. 231–248). New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Miriam, E. D., & David, M. E. (1980). *The state, the family, and education*. London, UK: Routledge. New South Wales. Dept. of School Education. Western, R. (1991). *Parent and community*
- participation to support schools renewal strategies: An inservice package. Bathurst, NSW Partnership for Family Involvement in Education. (2000). Parent organizations and schools: Team Up for Kids! Jessup, MD: Ed Pubs.
- Roland, S. B., & Barth, R. S. (1990). *Improving schools from within: Teachers, parents, and principals can make the difference*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Recommended websites

https://www.det.nsw.edu.au/about-us/careers-centre/school-careers/teaching/our-programs-and-initiatives/explore-your-future/beyond-the-bridge

http://www.schools.nsw.edu.au/gotoschool/types/specificpurposes/schoollist_ssp.php

http://cse.edc.org/products/teacherleadership/reachingout.asp

http://www.citized.info/pdf/external/Global_Educators_Report.pdf

8. ASSESSMENT

Assessment Task	Length	Weight	Student Learning Outcomes Assessed	Program Learning Outcomes Assessed	Due Date
Assessment 1 Report	2000 words	40%	1	1.1, 3.6, 3.7, 5.4, 5.5, 7.4	3, April, 2017 5 pm uploaded to Moodle
Assessment 2 Action plan and report on outcomes	3500 words	60%	1, 2, 3	1.1, 3.1 3.2, 3.7, 5.4, 5.5, 7.3, 7.4	1 June, 2017 5 pm uploaded to Moodle
Moodle Discussions		Hurdle Requirement	1, 2, 3	1.1, 3.7, 5.5, 7.3, 7.4	Ongoing

Students are required to follow their lecturer's instructions when submitting their work for assessment. All assessment will be submitted online via Moodle by 5pm. Student no longer need to use a cover sheet. Students are also required to keep all drafts, original data and other evidence of the authenticity of the work for at least one year after examination. If an assessment is mislaid the student is responsible for providing a further copy. Please see the Student Policies and Procedures for information regarding submission, extensions, special consideration, late penalties and hurdle requirements etc.

Assessment 1: Report (40%)

This report aims to evaluate how effectively the educational institution in which your project is located is meeting the needs of the particular target group of underachieving students identified. Through a systematic and careful analysis of the public documents available about the institution, its programs and track record in meeting the students' needs, and the description of its support personnel and broader community networks, pre-service teachers will come to appreciate the diversity of education provision in NSW and the challenges encountered in meeting student need and improving student learning.

You need to follow these steps in completing your research report

- Identify and briefly describe the underachieving group you are interested in and/or which has been allocated to you, and the school, or schooling system, in which they are located. You may use one of the schools allocated to you for professional experience, or you may use the school proposed for this project.
- 2. Briefly research the characteristics and needs of this specific group of students, the nature of the teaching and learning programs required for these students, and the classroom teaching strategies that can be used to build and support the engagement of these students with learning. Provide a summary of this research in 500-750 words. The group may comprise students with special needs (e.g. students with an intellectual disability, physical disability, vision or hearing impairment, language disorder, mental health conditions, autism) or students who are indigenous, from a low socio-economic background, ESL background or rural or remote area or are gifted academically or in sport, music or the arts, or seemingly disengaged and apparently unmotivated students.
- 3. Evaluate how well the provider (the school or schooling system) is meeting the needs of these students using evidence from sources such as school or system websites, annual reports, media posts and articles, 'My School' website, NAPLAN testing, etc. Provide a summary of

this research and evaluation in 1000-1500 words. Include a variety of sources (at least 6) in your bibliography.

Assessment 2: Action Plan and Outcomes (60% weighting)

This student engagement project involves working with a small group of underachieving students who have been identified as needing more support to be achieve their academic potential in order to develop <u>a detailed action plan for intervention</u> to improve learning, based on the course input and consultation with relevant school support personnel and other key stakeholders and <u>a report</u> of the outcomes of the intervention.

The following steps may be useful in guiding your study:

- 1. With the help of your Course coordinator or tutor, identify a suitable cohort of 3-5 students for your action plan. Make sure both parents and students (as well as the principal) are happy for you to work with them and they understand what you will be doing and why.
- 2. Find out about the students' strengths, needs, family background, language use, school learning (in Australia and overseas), their out of school activities such as sport, coaching, community language school, community functions. Try and get a broader picture of them beyond the classroom. eg. for ESL students find out about their language use outside the classroom. What language/s do they use with friends in the playground, at home with mother/father/grandparents/older and younger siblings? What language do siblings, parents and others use with them? What programs do they watch on TV? Make a summary of their access to formal/informal English and home language outside class. What are some issues for them in terms of spoken language and learning? Talk with any other staff and key stakeholders who also work with the student/s to find out more.
- 3. Collect and evaluate at least four samples of the student's school work in different subject areas, as well as information about their academic achievement. Examine the work samples to decide whether your impressions of the students' achievement in learning are reflected in their actual performance.
- 4. Develop an action plan for a small scale intervention, e.g. to review all available data relating the students' performance and engagement with school, then initiate or significantly modify an instructional strategy or work on a specific skill or behaviour, then assess, then review again, and if necessary repeat the cycle. Work out how you will assess improvement in learning, including both qualitative and quantitative measures.
- 5. Implement your action plan in consultation with all key stakeholders.
- 6. Write up your report. The report should be divided into five sections, with the middle two sections being the most detailed:
- Section 1: a brief introduction which outlining your subsequent report;

Section 2: a brief description of the learners and school (using pseudonyms), together with the reasons why they have been identified as underachieving, making reference to the work samples and the relevant literature as appropriate;

Section 3: a discussion which clearly indicates the implications of your findings for intervening in the learning of such students, including an individualized action plan for the students.

Section 4: a discussion which clearly indicates what happened to student learning as a result of the intervention, including any ways in which the outcomes of the intervention were constrained or inhibited.

Section 5: a conclusion which summarises what you have done and what you discovered as a result of your project.

Section 6: Individual Action Plan (see sample below)

Please note that all students are required to present your report according to accepted academic conventions. A list of <u>all</u> references cited in the report should be included at the end in alphabetical order using APA style (6th edition), and any interview questions and anonymised **exemplars** of the learners' performance included as appendices

Sample Individual Action Plan

Student name:	Main reasons for choosing this student/group of
Year level:	students:

Review of progress should be based on collection and analysis of data

- formal classroom and broader assessment data such as appropriate observation notes from classroom teacher/s
- · feedback from the student
- feedback from the parents/carers

All decisions regarding student learning should be based on a range of data sources. Individual Action Plans aim to personalize the teaching and learning program, support improvement in identified areas and should be monitored and revised regularly.

monitored and revised regularly.				
Learning improvement goals -	Learning outcomes			
Priority areas for improvement. Consider: engagement attendance behavior language and literacy academic achievement	List relevant learning outcomes linked to the learning improvement goals. Consider: engagement attendance behavior language and literacy academic achievement			
School and classroom strategies revised	Parents/carers – expectations/support			
pedagogy Consider: revised pedagogy classroom learning interventions small group/individual support behaviour expectations	Identify in partnership: expectations of parents/carers level of support that can be provided by parents/carers how the school can communicate with and support parents/carers			

Processes for implementation of the action plan

Identify:

- key steps for implementation
- how progress will be measured, data collection methods

Timeline for review and revision of plan

Action Plans should be measured and modified regularly.

Evidence of outcomes (as appropriate), including comments by students/teachers/support personnel /parents

Hurdle Requirement: As this is an online course, forum posts are in lieu of face-to-face attendance. For each Moodle discussion, you are expected to post a 500- word response. You will also be expected to respond to your classmates' posts. (More details provided on Moodle).

All assessment tasks should be completed using the following:

APA Style Guide (6th ed.)
References should be no older than 2006
All text should be double-spaced,
12 pt. font, Times or Times New Roman

Feedback

Assessment Task	Feedback Mechanism	Feedback Date
Assessment 1	Written-TurnitIn	Online: April 24, 2017
Assessment 2	Written-TurnitIn	Online: June 22, 2017
Moodle Discussion	Written-TurnitIn	Online: Ongoing

UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION FEEDBACK SHEET EDST 5116 STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

Student Name: Assessment Task 1 Student No.:

 Inderstanding of the question or issue and the key concepts involved Knowledge and understanding demonstrated of the characteristics and needs of the target group of students Depth of analysis of the support structures within the school or system chosen Range and depth of evidence of how well the school is able to meet the needs of the targeted students, including strategies for working effectively, sensitively and confidentially with parents/carers and relevant professionals (ie. Interpreters, counsellors, social workers, aides etc) Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used o support response Evidence of research through use of at least six different sources Clear linkage of the sources to the school or system of the report 			
needs of the target group of students Depth of analysis of the support structures within the school or system chosen Depth of analysis and/or critique in response to the task Range and depth of evidence of how well the school is able to meet the needs of the targeted students, including strategies for working effectively, sensitively and confidentially with parents/carers and relevant professionals (ie. Interpreters, counsellors, social workers, aides etc) Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used o support response Evidence of research through use of at least six different sources Clear linkage of the sources to the school or system of the report			
chosen Depth of analysis and/or critique in response to the task Range and depth of evidence of how well the school is able to meet the needs of the targeted students, including strategies for working effectively, sensitively and confidentially with parents/carers and relevant professionals (ie. Interpreters, counsellors, social workers, aides etc) Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used o support response Evidence of research through use of at least six different sources Clear linkage of the sources to the school or system of the report			
Range and depth of evidence of how well the school is able to meet the needs of the targeted students, including strategies for working effectively, sensitively and confidentially with parents/carers and relevant professionals (ie. Interpreters, counsellors, social workers, aides etc) Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used o support response Evidence of research through use of at least six different sources Clear linkage of the sources to the school or system of the report			
needs of the targeted students, including strategies for working effectively, sensitively and confidentially with parents/carers and relevant professionals (ie. Interpreters, counsellors, social workers, aides etc) Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used o support response • Evidence of research through use of at least six different sources • Clear linkage of the sources to the school or system of the report			
Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used o support response • Evidence of research through use of at least six different sources • Clear linkage of the sources to the school or system of the report			
 Evidence of research through use of at least six different sources Clear linkage of the sources to the school or system of the report 			
Clear linkage of the sources to the school or system of the report	l l		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
*			
Structure and organisation of response			
Coherence and clarity of structure			
Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions			
 Clarity, consistency and appropriateness of conventions for quoting, paraphrasing, attributing sources of information, and listing references; Clarity and appropriateness of sentence structure, vocabulary use, spelling, punctuation and word length. 			

Lecturer Date

Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weighting: 40%

NB: The ticks in the various boxes are designed to provide feedback to students; they are not given equal weight in determining the recommended grade. Depending on the nature of the assessment task, lecturers may also contextualize and/or amend these specific criteria. The recommended grade is tentative only, subject to standardisation processes and approval by the School of Education Learning and Teaching Committee.

UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION FEEDBACK SHEET EDST 5116 STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

Student Name: Student No.: Assessment Task 2

SPECIFIC CRITERIA	(-) —	;	(+)
Understanding of the question or issue and the key concepts involved			
Adequacy of the response to all component parts of the question or issue			
 Relevance of assessment techniques to identify student strengths, interests & learning needs 			
Justification/rationale for intervention based on student learning needs			
Depth of analysis and/or critique in response to the task			
 Suitability and justification of techniques/strategies/theories/models used in the intervention 			
Depth and clarity of analysis	<u> </u>		
Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used			
to support response			
Evidence of a range of literature relevant to engagement			
Structure and organisation of response			
Appropriacy of structure for a report			
 Clarity and coherence of response to the task, including final conclusion and appendices 			
Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic			
conventions			
 Clarity, consistency and appropriateness of conventions for quoting, 			
paraphrasing, attributing sources of information, and listing references;			
 Clarity and consistency in presenting tables and diagrams; 			
 Clarity and appropriateness of sentence structure, vocabulary use, spelling, punctuation and word length. 			
GENERAL COMMENTS/RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NEXT TIME			

Lecturer Date
Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weighting: 60%

NB: The ticks in the various boxes are designed to provide feedback to students; they are not given equal weight in determining the recommended grade. Depending on the nature of the assessment task, lecturers may also contextualize and/or amend these specific criteria. The recommended grade is tentative only, subject to standardisation processes and approval by the School of Education Learning and Teaching Committee.